

NOV. 30. 2004 2:42PM

LVM 312 616 5700

NO. 1093 P. 3/8

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 30 2004

PATENT
Attorney Docket No. 224396

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Eberle et al.

Art Unit: 3737

Application No. 10/661,269

Examiner: Imam, Ali M.

Filed: 09/12/2003

For: A Method for Manufacturing an
Intravascular Ultrasound Transducer
Assembly Having a Flexible Substrate

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Mail Stop
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated June 2, 2004, please enter the following
amendments and consider the following remarks.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8			
I hereby certify that this Response to Office Action and all accompanying documents are, on the date indicated below, <input type="checkbox"/> being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop , Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Attention: Examiner A. Imam, Art Unit 3737, Facsimile Number (703) 872-9306.			
Name (Print/Type)	Frances Sanchez		
Signature	Frances Sanchez	Date	November 30, 2004

12/06/2004 ENIMMONS 00000004 121216 10661269

W FGS

In re Appln. of Eberle et al.
Application No. 10/661,269


REMARKS

Applicants have reviewed the Office Action dated June 2, 2004, and believe the double-patenting rejection (the sole ground for rejecting the single pending claims) is obviated by applicants' newly presented claim set that is directed to the aspect of the disclosure concerning the depth of cut made in the transducer sheet and underlying flexible substrate during manufacture and the resulting transducer assembly. As explained in the description of the dicing step 56 at pages 23 and 24, cutting at least partially through the flexible substrate potentially improves the isolation of adjacent transducer elements.

Conclusion

The application is considered in good and proper form for allowance, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,


Mark Joy, Reg. No. 35,562
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.
Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900
180 North Stetson Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60601-6780
(312) 616-5600 (telephone)
(312) 616-5700 (facsimile)

Date: November 30, 2004

m.l.:V24396ROA